

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,641	07/02/2003		Timothy P. McCaffrey	130954	4619	
	7590	01/04/2005		EXAMINER		
William J.				AE JUN		
Armstrong Suite 2600	Teasdale L	LP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Metrop			3746			
St. Louis, 1	MO 63102	2		DATE MAILED: 01/04/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
1/		10/613,641	MCCAFFREY ET AL.	(M)
·	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ted Kim	3746	
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ss
	for Reply			
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ne period for reply specified above is less than thirty (5 o period for reply is specified above, the maximum st lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply or reply received by the Office later than three months oned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. BO) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO or will, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>09 November</u> 2004.		
2a)[2b) This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition	•••	atters, prosecution as to the m	erits is
	closed in accordance with the practi	ice under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>7-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	withdrawn from consideration.		
Applica	tion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or b) objected to	o by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a	2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received documents have been received in of the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Sta	age
Attachme	, ,			
1) 🔀 Not 2) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	4) ∐ Interview PTO-948) Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔯 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date 10/06/2003.		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 1-6 have withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 11/9/04.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of group II in the reply filed on 11/9/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the groups are argued to be related and could be searched and examined together. This is not found persuasive because the groups are patentably distinct and the apparatus does not in the independent claims rely on a ring support that includes a first radial flange, a second radial flange and a plurality of beams that extend therebetween to the combustor casing. Hence the apparatus could be performed in the broadest conception without these features or could use e.g. an axial flange.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 2, D₃ is drawn to the incorrect location as D₃ is the ferrule 60 diameter (page 6, paragraph 22). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if

Art Unit: 3746

only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 4. The drawings were received on 11/9/04. These drawings are approved.
- 5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the features of claims 12, 20 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and

where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: at the end of the line the "," should be --.--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 13, 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sturgess (3,866,413). Sturgess teaches a combustion system for a gas turbine engine, said combustion system comprising: a combustor comprising a dome assembly including dome 37 and a combustor liner 5 extending downstream from said dome assembly, said combustor liner defining a combustion chamber therein, said combustor further

comprising a centerline axis; a combustor casing 3 extending around said combustor; and a primer/pilot nozzle 12 extending axially through said combustor casing and said dome assembly for supplying fuel into said combustor along said combustor centerline axis during engine start-up operating conditions; primer nozzle comprises an annular shoulder (either the bolted portion of 2 or the next stepped portion downstream) and positioned relative to said combustor casing by said shoulder; wherein said primer nozzle 12 comprises an injection tip, an inlet 8, and a body extending therebetween, said injection tip for discharging fuel into said combustor in a direction that is substantially parallel to said combustor centerline axis; wherein said primer nozzle comprises an injection tip, an inlet, a body extending between said tip and inlet, and a shroud 15 extending circumferentially around said injection tip and around at least a portion of said body such that a gap is defined between said shroud and at least one of said body and said injection tip.

9. Claims 13, 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dodds (6,530,223). Dodds teaches a combustion system for a gas turbine engine, said combustion system comprising: a combustor comprising a dome assembly including pilot dome 18 and a combustor liner 14, 16 extending downstream from said dome assembly, said combustor liner defining a combustion chamber therein, said combustor further comprising a centerline axis; a combustor casing extending around said combustor; and a primer/pilot nozzle 46 extending axially through said combustor casing and said dome assembly for supplying fuel into said combustor along said combustor centerline axis

during engine start-up operating conditions; wherein said primer nozzle comprises an annular shoulder 110, said primer nozzle positioned relative to said combustor casing by said shoulder 110; wherein said primer nozzle comprises an injection tip108, 119, an inlet 114, and a body extending therebetween, said injection tip for discharging fuel into said combustor in a direction (see 98) that is substantially parallel to said combustor centerline axis 12; wherein said primer nozzle comprises an injection tip, an inlet, a body extending between said tip and inlet, and a shroud 138, 140 extending circumferentially around said injection tip and around at least a portion of said body such that a gap is defined between said shroud and at least one of said body and said injection tip; wherein said shroud comprises a plurality of circumferentially-spaced metering openings 130 extending therethrough, said metering openings 130 for metering a flow of cooling air 132 to said injection tip.

Orchard et al (3,034,297). Orchard et al teach a combustion system for a gas turbine engine, said combustion system comprising: a combustor comprising a dome assembly 32 and a combustor liner 23, 42 extending downstream from said dome assembly, said combustor liner defining a combustion chamber therein, said combustor further comprising a centerline axis; a combustor casing extending around said combustor; and a primer/pilot nozzle 34 extending axially through said combustor casing and said dome assembly for supplying fuel into said combustor along said combustor centerline axis during engine start-up operating conditions; wherein said primer nozzle comprises an

annular shoulder (between the two sets of dashed lines for the fuel nozzle), said primer nozzle positioned relative to said combustor casing by said shoulder; wherein said primer nozzle comprises an injection tip, an inlet, and a body extending therebetween, said injection tip for discharging fuel into said combustor in a direction that is substantially parallel to said combustor centerline axis.

- 11. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kraft et al (6,446,439). Kraft et al teach a primer nozzle for a gas turbine engine combustor including a centerline axis, said primer/pilot nozzle 39 (Fig. 4) or 206, 216 (Figs. 9-11) comprising: an inlet 250; an injection tip for discharging fuel into said combustor in a direction that is substantially parallel to the gas turbine engine centerline axis; a body extending between said inlet and said injection tip, said body comprising at least one annular projection (e.g. 120, Fig. 2) for coupling said nozzle to said body such that said primer nozzle is positioned relative to the combustor; and a shroud 170 (Fig. 4) or 208 (Figs. 9-11) extending around said injection tip and around at least a portion of said body such that a gap is defined between said shroud and at least one of said body and said injection tip, said shroud comprising a plurality of circumferentially-spaced openings (226, Figs. 9-11 and unlabeled in Fig. 4) for metering cooling air supplied to said injection tip.
- 12. Claims 13, 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lefebvre (3,283,502). Lefebvre teaches a combustion system for a gas turbine engine, said combustion system comprising: a combustor comprising a dome assembly 8 and a

combustor liner 2 extending downstream from said dome assembly, said combustor liner defining a combustion chamber therein, said combustor further comprising a centerline axis; a combustor casing 1 extending around said combustor; and a primer nozzle 10 extending axially through said combustor casing and said dome assembly for supplying fuel into said combustor along said combustor centerline axis during engine start-up operating conditions; wherein said primer nozzle comprises an annular shoulder (see Fig. 1), said primer nozzle positioned relative to said combustor casing by said shoulder; wherein said primer nozzle comprises an injection tip 21, an inlet, and a body extending therebetween, said injection tip for discharging fuel into said combustor in a direction that is substantially parallel to said combustor centerline axis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claims 7, 8, 10-13, 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lefebvre (3,283,502) in view of any of Faucher et al (4,362,022). Donlan (5,361,578) and Weiler (4,229,944). Lefebvre further teach a primer nozzle 10 for a gas turbine engine combustor including a centerline axis, said primer nozzle comprising: an inlet; an injection tip for discharging fuel into said combustor in a

Art Unit: 3746

direction that is substantially parallel to the gas turbine engine centerline axis; a body extending between said inlet and said injection tip, said body comprising at least one annular projection for coupling said nozzle to said body such that said primer nozzle is positioned relative to the combustor; said primer nozzle configured to supply fuel to the gas turbine engine combustor only during engine start-up operating conditions (col. 4. lines 17+). Lefebvre does not teach a shroud for cooling the primer nozzle. Faucher et al teach a shroud 22 extending around an injection tip 20 and around at least a portion of said body such that a gap is defined between said shroud and at least one of said body and said injection tip, said shroud comprising a plurality of circumferentially-spaced openings for metering cooling air 30 supplied to said injection tip; wherein said shroud further comprises a frustroconical shroud tip extending around said frusto-conical injection tip, said shroud plurality of circumferentially-spaced openings facilitate limiting an airflow therethrough if said shroud tip deteriorates. The cooling of the injector tip allows for reduced coking of the fuel nozzle. Donlan teaches a shroud 24 or 42 extending around said injection tip and around at least a portion of said body such that a gap is defined between said shroud and at least one of said body and said injection tip, said shroud comprising a plurality of circumferentially-spaced openings for metering cooling air 39, 40 supplied to said injection tip wherein said shroud further comprises a frustroconical shroud tip extending around said injection tip; wherein said shroud plurality of circumferentially-spaced openings 39, 40 facilitate limiting an airflow therethrough if said shroud tip deteriorates. Donlan also teaches the cooling air and shroud prevents

coking (col. 3, lines 58+). Weiler teaches a shroud extending around said injection tip and around at least a portion of said body such that a gap is defined between said shroud and at least one of said body and said injection tip, said shroud 17 comprising a plurality of circumferentially-spaced openings 7, 8 for metering cooling air supplied to said injection tip; wherein said shroud further comprises a shroud tip extending around said injection tip, said shroud tip comprising a plurality of cooling openings 25, wherein said shroud further comprises a shroud tip extending around said injection tip, said shroud tip is frusto-conical; wherein said shroud plurality of circumferentially-spaced openings facilitate limiting an airflow therethrough if said shroud tip deteriorates. Weiler also teaches a bypass air source for purging residual fuel into the combustor from said nozzle during pre-determined combustor operating conditions (col. 3, lines 45+) as an additional measure to prevent coking/carbonizing of the fuel nozzles. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a shroud and cooling air, as taught by any of Faucher et al, Donlan et al, and Weiler, in order to prevent coking/carbonizing of the nozzles. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ purging of the fuel, as taught by Weiler, as an additional measure to prevent coking/carbonizing of the fuel nozzles.

15. Claims 7, 8, 10-13, 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sturgess (3,866,413) as applied above, and further in view of any of Faucher et al (4,362,022), Donlan (5,361,578) and Weiler (4,229,944). Sturgess teaches various aspects of the claimed invention but does not teach a shroud with circumferential

Page 11

Art Unit: 3746

air openings surrounding the primer/pilot nozzle 12. Faucher et al teach a shroud 22 extending around an injection tip 20 and around at least a portion of said body such that a gap is defined between said shroud and at least one of said body and said injection tip, said shroud comprising a plurality of circumferentially-spaced openings for metering cooling air 30 supplied to said injection tip; wherein said shroud further comprises a frustroconical shroud tip extending around said frusto-conical injection tip, said shroud plurality of circumferentially-spaced openings facilitate limiting an airflow therethrough if said shroud tip deteriorates. The cooling of the injector tip allows for reduced coking of the fuel nozzle. Donlan teaches a shroud 24 or 42 extending around said injection tip and around at least a portion of said body such that a gap is defined between said shroud and at least one of said body and said injection tip, said shroud comprising a plurality of circumferentially-spaced openings for metering cooling air 39, 40 supplied to said injection tip wherein said shroud further comprises a frustroconical shroud tip extending around said injection tip; wherein said shroud plurality of circumferentially-spaced openings 39, 40 facilitate limiting an airflow therethrough if said shroud tip deteriorates. Donlan also teaches the cooling air and shroud prevents coking (col. 3, lines 58+). Weiler teaches a shroud extending around said injection tip and around at least a portion of said body such that a gap is defined between said shroud and at least one of said body and said injection tip, said shroud 17 comprising a plurality of circumferentially-spaced openings 7, 8 for metering cooling air supplied to said injection tip; wherein said shroud further comprises a shroud tip extending around said injection tip, said shroud tip

Art Unit: 3746

comprising a plurality of cooling openings 25, wherein said shroud further comprises a shroud tip extending around said injection tip, said shroud tip is frusto-conical; wherein said shroud plurality of circumferentially-spaced openings facilitate limiting an airflow therethrough if said shroud tip deteriorates. Weiler also teaches a bypass air source for purging residual fuel into the combustor from said nozzle during pre-determined combustor operating conditions (col. 3, lines 45+) as an additional measure to prevent coking/carbonizing of the fuel nozzles. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ an additional shroud and cooling air about the primer/pilot nozzle 12 of Sturgess, as taught by any of Faucher et al, Donlan et al, and Weiler, in order to prevent coking/carbonizing of the nozzle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ purging of the fuel, as taught by Weiler, as an additional measure to prevent coking/carbonizing of the fuel nozzles.

16. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Lefebvre (3,283,502) or Sturgess (3,866,413) in view of any of Faucher et al (4,362,022), Donlan (5,361,578) and Weiler (4,229,944) as applied above, and further in view of either Stuttaford et al (6,675,581) or Bechtel et al (6,363,724). Lefebvre and Sturgess teach various aspects of the claimed invention but do not teach the coolant openings on the shroud tip for film cooling. Lefebvre teaches coolant openings 24 on the shroud tip for film cooling. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ coolant openings on the shroud tip in order to provide film cooling thereof.

17. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of the above prior and further in view of either Owner et al (2,529,958) or Dougherty et al (3,116,606) and Owner et al (2,529,958). The above prior art do not teach an annular support ring. Owner et al teach an annular support ring comprising a first radial flange 24, a second radial flange 25 axially spaced from said first radial flange, and a plurality of circumferentially- spaced beams 7 extending between said first radial flange and said second radial flange, said combustor casing coupled to said annular support ring. Dougherty et al teach a combustor casing 12 with flanges 14 and adjacent 16 but does not teach a plurality of circumferentially spaced beams. Owner et al teach an annular support ring comprising a first radial flange 24, a second radial flange 25 axially spaced from said first radial flange, and a plurality of circumferentially- spaced beams 7 extending between said first radial flange and said second radial flange, said combustor casing coupled to said annular support ring. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ an annular support ring employ circumferentially spaced beams coupled to the casing, as taught by Owner et al or Dougherty et al and Owner et al, in order to employ a rigid and/or strong and/or easily disassembled assembly.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Ted Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-4829. The

Art Unit: 3746

Examiner can be reached on regular business hours before 5:00 pm, Monday to Thursday and every other Friday.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are 703-872-9306 for Regular faxes and 703-872-9306 for After Final faxes.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler, can be reached on 571-272-4834.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist of Technology Center 3700, whose telephone number is 703-308-0861. General inquiries can also be directed to the Patents Assistance Center whose telephone number is 800-786-9199. Furthermore, a variety of online resources are available at http://www.uspto.gov/main/patents.htm

4///		
Ted Kim	Telephone	571-272-4829
Primary Examiner	Fax (Regular)	703-872-9306
December 27, 2004	Fax (After Final)	703-872-9306
Technology Center 3700 Receptionist	Telephone	703-308-0861
Patents Assistance Center	Telephone	800-786-9199